FROM NEWTON'S LAWS OF MOTION TO NATURAL LANGUAGE

Kibuuka Balubuuliza Kiingi, PhD, D Litt(des)

Department of Languages Kampala University

FROM NEWTON'S LAWS OF MOTION TO NATURAL LANGUAGE

It is common knowledge that semantic role theory is still weakened by two related problems, viz. how semantic roles are to be determined; and what semantic roles there are. This paper advances a solution to the problems by deriving nine and only nine semantic roles from Newton's laws of motion which, using conventional notation, are statable as: $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{ma} = 0$ (Newton I), $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{ma} \neq 0$ (Newton II), and $\mathbf{F}_{1\rightarrow 2} = -\mathbf{F}_{2\rightarrow 1}$ (Newton III). First, it is postulated that when $[\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{ma} = 0]$, \mathbf{F} : $[\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{Z}]$ and \mathbf{ma} : $[\mathbf{R}]$, where $\mathbf{B} =$ change bearer, $\mathbf{Z} =$ non-change bearer, and $\mathbf{R} =$ reference. Second, when $[\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{ma} \neq 0]$ obtains, \mathbf{F} : $[\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{K}]$ and \mathbf{ma} : $[\mathbf{E}]$, where $\mathbf{C} =$ dynamic causer, $\mathbf{K} =$ static causer, and $\mathbf{E} =$ effected. Third, when $[\mathbf{F}_{1\rightarrow 2} = -\mathbf{F}_{2\rightarrow 1}]$ is the case, $\mathbf{F}_{1\rightarrow 2}$: $[\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{T}]$ and $\mathbf{F}_{2\rightarrow 1}$: $[\mathbf{A}]$, where $\mathbf{N} =$ dynamic contactor, $\mathbf{T} =$ static contactor, and $\mathbf{A} =$ contactee.

In order to probabilify this thetic metarule of realization of semantic roles by biomechanical forces a six-step method is adopted:

- Step 1: determination of the biomechanical situation and application of the metarule
- Step 2: determination of the logico-conceptual situation (in which y_1 , y_2 , y_3 feature as logico-conceptual entities)
- Step 3: determination of the logico-conceptual equation
- Step 4: determination of the logico-conceptual sequence
- Step 5: determination of the functional sentence structure rule (in which the subject <u>S</u>, verb <u>V</u>, object <u>O</u>, complement <u>C</u>, and adverbial <u>Adl</u> feature)
- Step 6: determination of the formal sentence structure rule (in which the noun phrase N", verb group Vg, adjective phrase A", subodinate clause S', adverb phrase Adv", and prepositional phrase P" feature)

We shall need in all seven cycles of application.

Cycle 1

(i)
$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{ma} = 0$$
 \mathbf{F} : [B]; $\mathbf{ma} = 0$: [Ø]

- (ii) y_1B
- (iii) $y_1B = y_1B$
- (iv) $\langle y_1B + B \rangle$
- (v) $\langle S + V \rangle$
- (vi) $< N''_1 + Vg >$

Cycle 2

(i)
$$F = ma = 0$$
 $F: [B]; ma = 0: [\emptyset]$

- (ii) y_1B
- (iii) $y_1B = y_1B$
- $(iv) < y_1B + B >$
- (v) $\langle \underline{S} + \underline{V} + \underline{C} \rangle$
- (vi) $\langle N''_1 + Vg + N''_2/A''/S' \rangle$

Cycle 3

(i)
$$F = ma = 0$$
 $F: [B]; ma = 0: [R]$

- (ii) y_1By_2R
- (iii) $y_1By_2R = y_1BR + By_2R$

```
(iv) \langle y_1BR + BR + By_2R \rangle
```

(v)
$$\langle \underline{S} + \underline{V} + \underline{Adl} \rangle$$

(vi)
$$\langle N''_1 + Vg + N''_2/Adv''/S'/P'' \rangle$$

Cycle 4a

(i)
$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{ma} \neq 0$$
 \mathbf{F} : [C]; $\mathbf{ma} \neq 0$: [E] $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{ma} = 0$ \mathbf{F} : [B]; $\mathbf{ma} = 0$: [Ø]

- (ii) $y_1C[y_2B]E$
- (iii) $y_1C[y_2B]E = y_1C[B]E + C[y_2B]E$
- (iv) $\langle y_1C[B]E + C[B]E + C[y_2B]E \rangle$
- (v) $\langle \underline{S} + \underline{V} + \underline{O} \rangle$
- (vi) $< N''_1 + Vg + N''/S'>$

Cycle 4b

(i)
$$\mathbf{F}_{1\to 2} = -\mathbf{F}_{2\to 1} \quad \mathbf{F}_{1\to 2} : [N]; \ \mathbf{F}_{2\to 1} : [A]$$

- (ii) y_1Ny_2A
- (iii) $y_1Ny_2A = y_1NA + Ny_2A$
- (iv) $\langle y_1NA + NA + Ny_2A \rangle$
- (v) $\langle \underline{S} + \underline{V} + \underline{O} \rangle$
- (vi) $\langle N''_1 + Vg + N''_2/S'/P'' \rangle$

Cycle 5

(i)
$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{ma} \neq 0$$
 \mathbf{F} : [C]; $\mathbf{ma} \neq 0$: [E] $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{ma} = 0$ \mathbf{F} : [B]; $\mathbf{ma} = 0$: [Ø]

- (ii) $y_1C[y_2B]E$
- (iii) $y_1C[y_2B]E = y_1C[B]E + C[y_2B]E$
- (iv) $\langle y_1C[B]E + C[B]E + C[y_2B]E \rangle$
- (v) $\langle \underline{S} + \underline{V} + \underline{O} + \underline{C} \rangle$
- (vi) $\langle N''_1 + Vg + N''_2 + N''_3/A''/S' \rangle$

Cycle 6

(i)
$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{ma} \neq 0$$
 \mathbf{F} : [C]; $\mathbf{ma} \neq 0$: [E] $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{ma} = 0$ \mathbf{F} : [B]; $\mathbf{ma} = 0$: [R]

- (ii) $y_1C[y_2By_3R]E$
- (iii) $y_1C[y_2By_3R]E = y_1C[BR]E + C[y_2BR]E + C[By_3R]E$
- (iv) $\langle y_1C[BR]E + C[BR]E + C[y_2BR]E + C[By_3R]E \rangle$
- (v) $\langle \underline{S} + \underline{V} + \underline{O} + \underline{Adl} \rangle$
- (vi) $\langle N''_1 + Vg + N''_2 + N''_3/Adv''/S'/P'' \rangle$

Cycle 7a

(i)
$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{ma} \neq 0$$
 \mathbf{F} : [C]; $\mathbf{ma} \neq 0$: [E] $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{ma} = 0$ \mathbf{F} : [B]; $\mathbf{ma} = 0$: [Ø]

- (ii) $y_1C[y_2C[y_3B]E]E$
- (iii) $y_1C[y_2C[y_3B]E]E = y_1C[C[B]E]E + C[y_2C[B]E]E + C[C[y_3B]E]E$
- (iv) $\langle y_1C[C[B]E]E + C[C[B]E]E + C[y_2C[B]E]E + C[C[y_3B]E]E \rangle$
- (v) $\langle \underline{S} + \underline{V} + \underline{O} + \underline{O} \rangle$
- (vi) $\langle N''_1 + Vg + N''_2 + N''_3/S'/P'' \rangle$

Cycle 7b

(i) $F = ma \neq 0$ $F: [C]; ma \neq 0: [E]$

$$\mathbf{F}_{1\to 2} = -\mathbf{F}_{2\to 1} \quad \mathbf{F}_{1\to 2} : [N]; \ \mathbf{F}_{2\to 1} : [A]$$

- (ii) $y_1C[y_2Ny_3A]E$
- (iii) $y_1C[y_2Ny_3A]E = y_1C[NA]E + C[y_2NA]E + C[Ny_3A]E$
- (iv) $\langle y_1C[NA]E + C[NA]E + C[y_2NA]E + C[Ny_3A]E \rangle$
- (v) < S + V + O + O >
- (vi) $\langle N''_1 + Vg + N''_2 + N''_3/S'/P'' \rangle$

Our first major finding is that there is isomorphism between the logico-conceptual sequence, the functional and formal sentence structure rules. We may generalize the isomorphism as follows:

If $y_1\Pi_1y_2\Pi_2$ is a logico-conceptual situation with Π_1 , Π_2 as metapredicates,

then
$$y_1\Pi_1y_2\Pi_2 = y_1\Pi_1\Pi_2 + \Pi_1y_2\Pi_2$$
.
Therefore, $\langle y_1\Pi_1\Pi_2 + \Pi_1\Pi_2 + \Pi_1y_2\Pi_2 \rangle$
 $\langle \underline{S} + \underline{V} + \underline{C}/\underline{Adl}/\underline{O} \rangle$

Our second finding concerns the question whether natural-language predicates are generally reducible to the nine and only nine newtonian ones. To show that this is indeed the case, we informally consider the following reduction formulae:

(1) Cycles 4a and 5

$$C[B]E \models [B]$$

$$<\underline{S} + \underline{V} + \underline{O} > \models <\underline{S} + \underline{V} >$$

$$<\underline{S} + \underline{V} + \underline{O} + \underline{C} > \models <\underline{S} + \underline{V} + \underline{C} > \models <\underline{S} + \underline{V} >$$

 $< N''_1 + Vg + N''_2/A''/S'/Adv''/P''>$

(2) Cycle 6

$$C[BR]E \models [BR]$$

$$<\underline{S} + \underline{V} + \underline{O} + \underline{Adl} > \models <\underline{S} + \underline{V} + \underline{Adl} >$$

(3) Cycle 7a

$$C[C[B]E]E \vdash C[B]E \vdash [B]$$

$$<\underline{S} + \underline{V} + \underline{O} + \underline{O} > \vdash <\underline{S} + \underline{V} + \underline{O} > \vdash <\underline{S} + \underline{V} > \underline{C}$$

(4) Cycle 7b

$$C[NA]E \models [NA]$$

$$<\underline{S} + \underline{V} + \underline{O} + \underline{O} > | +\underline{S} + \underline{V} + \underline{O} >$$

Our formalization of logico-conceptual situations, equations and sequences so far has been in terms of y_1 , y_2 , y_3 . In order to achieve more specific formalizations we have to abandon y_1 , y_2 , y_3 in favour of a periodic table of conceptual elements which exhibits 26 entity variables.

The Periodic Table of Conceptual Elements

Group	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Period	В	Z	R	C	K	E	N	T	A
Abstract Entity	xB	xZ	xR	xC 4	xK 5	x E 6	xN 7	xT 8	xA 9
Meaning-Bearer	pB	pZ	pR 12	pC	pK 14	p E	pN 16	p T	pA 18
Quantity	qB 19	qZ 20	qR 21	qC 22	qK 23	qE 24	qN 25	qT	qA
Set	sB	sZ	sR	sC	sK	sE	sN	sT	sA
	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36
Number	nB 37	nZ 38	nR 39	nC 40	nK 41	nE 42	nN 43	nT	nA 45
Space (Length)	1B	1Z	1R	1C	1K	1E	1N	1T	1A
	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54
Form	φB	φZ	φR	φC	φK	φE	φN	φT	φA
	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63
Order	oB	oZ	oR	oC	oK	oE	oN	oT	oA
	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72
Direction	dB	dZ	dR	dC	dK	dE	dN	dT	dA
	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81
Degree	$_{82}^{\gamma \mathrm{B}}$	γZ 83	$\mathop{\gamma R}_{84}$	γC 85	γK 86	γE 87	$_{88}^{\gamma N}$	γT 89	γA 90
Matter	mB	mZ	mR	mC	mK	mE	mN	mT	mA
	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99
Time	tB	tZ	tR	tC	tK	tE	tN	tT	tA
	100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108
Process	cB 109	cZ 110	cR	cC 112	cK 113	cE 114	cN 115	cT	cA 117
Force	aB	aZ	aR	aC	aK	aE	aN	aT	aA
	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126
Energy	eB	eZ	eR	eC	eK	eE	eN	eT	eA
	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135
Material Object	rB	rZ	rR	rC	rK	rE	rN	rT	rA
	136	137	138	139	140	141	142	143	144
Living Thing	bB	bZ	bR	bC	bK	bE	bN	bT	bA
	145	146	147	148	149	150	151	152	153
Plant	fB	fZ	fR	fC	fK	fE	fN	fT	fA
	154	155	156	157	158	159	160	161	162
Animal	zB	zZ	zR	zC	zK	zE	zN	zT	zA
	163	164	165	166	167	168	169	170	171
Human	hB	hZ	hR	hC	hK	hE	hN	hT	hA
	172	173	174	175	176	177	178	179	180
Biophysical	vB	vZ	vR	vC	vK	vE	vN	vT	vA
Willer	181	182	183	184	185	186	187	188	189
Perceiver	wB	wZ 191	wR 192	wC 193	wK 194	wE	wN 196	wT	wA 198
Cogitator	uB	uZ	uR	uC	uK	uE	uN	uT	uA
	199	200	201	202	203	204	205	206	207
Valuer	gB	gZ	gR	gC	gK	gE	gN	gT	gA
	208	209	210	211	212	213	214	215	216
Institution	iB 217	iZ 218	iR 219	iC 220	iK 221	iE 222	iN 223	iT 224	iA 225
Supernatural	kB	kZ	kR	kC	kK	kE	kN	kT	kA
	226	227	228	229	230	231	232	233	234

The nine groups of the table accord with the nine newtonian predicates we derived from Newton's laws of motion. There are 26 periods of ascending conceptual complexity and 234 conceptual elements. We now turn to illustrate formalizations.

(1) A bull died.

zB

(2) The bull is dead.

zZ

(3) The door is open.

rZ

(4) The door opens.

rB

(5) The road widens.

1B

(6) The water is boiling.

mB

(7) The road becomes wide.

1B

(8) The book is on the table.

 r_1Zr_2R

(9) The cook is boiling water.

hC[mB]E

(10) The clerk wrote a letter.

hC[rB]E

(11) The farmer slaughtered a bull.

hC[zB]E

(12) The warden opened the door.

hC[rB]E

(13) Kwame is learning French.

hNxA

(14) The girl kissed her boyfriend.

 h_1Nh_2A

(15) The president appointed her minister.

 $h_1C[h_2B]E$

(16) The child put the book on the table.

 $hC[r_1Br_2R]E$

(17) The government detained him on the island.

iK[hZlR]E

(18) He opened the door for his guest.

 $h_1C[rBh_2R]E$

(19) He opened the door with the key.

 $h_1C[r_1C[r_2B]E]E$

(20) She paid Kwame a lot of money.

 $h_1C[h_2NrA]E$

(21) The lecturer explained the theory of evolution to her students.

 $h_1C[h_2NxA]E$

We conclude this paper with one major question:

How will this nascent "Newtonian" Grammar or Newtonian Grammar (NG) relate to Noam Chomsky's Universal Grammar (UG)?

References

Huddleston, Rodney and Pullum, Geoffrey K (2002) *The Cambridge Grammar of the English Language*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Quirk, Randolph; Greenbaum, Sidney; Leech, Geoffrey; & Svartvik, Jan (1985) A

Comprehensive Grammar of the English Language, London: Longman.